

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/828,246	04/06/2001	William L. Grouell	843161-85	2732	
75	90 03/04/2002				
Brain M Berliner			EXAMINER		
O'Melveny & M 400 South Hope	Street		CHERVINSKY	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835	3	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
. Office Action Summary		09/828,246	GROUELL, WILLIAM L.		
		Examin r	Art Unit		
		Boris L. Chervinsky	2835		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shelf twith the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	pril 2001 .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
_	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	<u></u>	niner		
. 5/		. — •			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
_	All b) Some * c) None of:	p	(-) 5. (.).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/828,246

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9-13, 15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skutt et al. in view of Wilens.

Skutt et al. discloses a shield 58 comprising rectangular plate with a plurality of louvers 100 having a longitudinal edge with ends spaced inward from the sides of the plate, two side edges and a fourth edge integral with the plate. Skutt et al. disclose the claimed invention except having the plate to be used with a hard disk drive. Wilens discloses a shield attached to a heat generating device 25 including louvers 24, a depression to contact the heat generating device, as claimed in claims 2, 5, 15, 20. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the shield as disclosed by Skutt et al. for cooling a heat generating device including a hard disk drive as disclosed by Wilens since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

3. Claims 3, 6, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skutt et al. in view of Wilens.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,246

Art Unit: 2835

Details drawn to the plate having outward-upward slanted edges and another set of louvers oriented in opposite direction are well known in the art (references listed in US

PTO 892 form which are not applied at this time show these features) and are not

Page 3

considered to be demonstrated as critical and therefore obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-

308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

Bon's 4. Claricing

Boris L. Chervinsky, Patent Examiner

February 12, 2002